

# Resonances of the Laplace operator on homogeneous vector bundles on rank-one symmetric spaces

**Simon Roby**

8 novembre 2021



丘成桐数学科学中心  
YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER





## Introduction

Schrödinger (1926): Hydrogen Stark Hamiltonian

$$\Delta + W$$

For Schrödinger: energies correspond to eigenvalues of this operator



## Introduction

Schrödinger (1926): Hydrogen Stark Hamiltonian

$$\Delta + W$$

For Schrödinger: energies correspond to eigenvalues of this operator

↔ no eigenvalues for some potential  $W$



## Introduction

Schrödinger (1926): Hydrogen Stark Hamiltonian

$$\Delta + W$$

For Schrödinger: energies correspond to eigenvalues of this operator

↔ no eigenvalues for some potential  $W$

1. Oppenheimer stated this claim and referred to Weyl (1928), where the proof cannot be found
2. Weisskopf and Wigner (1930) were the first to connect resonances with the poles of a suitable analytic continuation



## Introduction

Schrödinger (1926): Hydrogen Stark Hamiltonian

$$\Delta + W$$

For Schrödinger: energies correspond to eigenvalues of this operator

↔ no eigenvalues for some potential  $W$

1. Oppenheimer stated this claim and referred to Weyl (1928), where the proof cannot be found
2. Weisskopf and Wigner (1930) were the first to connect resonances with the poles of a suitable analytic continuation

In mathematics, (scattering) resonances: poles of the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent



## Introduction

Schrödinger (1926): Hydrogen Stark Hamiltonian

$$\Delta + W$$

For Schrödinger: energies correspond to eigenvalues of this operator

↔ no eigenvalues for some potential  $W$

1. Oppenheimer stated this claim and referred to Weyl (1928), where the proof cannot be found
2. Weisskopf and Wigner (1930) were the first to connect resonances with the poles of a suitable analytic continuation

In mathematics, (scattering) resonances: poles of the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent

For the Laplace operator:

$$R(z) = (\Delta - z)^{-1} \quad \rightsquigarrow L^2$$



## Framework

**$G$** : non-compact semisimple Lie group, finite center,  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$

**$K$** : maximal compact of  $G$ ,  $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

**$X := G/K$** : Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type

**$M := Z_K(A)$** ,  $\text{Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$



## Framework

**$G$** : non-compact semisimple Lie group, finite center,  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$

**$K$** : maximal compact of  $G$ ,  $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

**$X := G/K$** : Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type

**$M := Z_K(A)$** ,  $\text{Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$

Example:  $H^n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}_e(1, n)/\text{SO}(n)$  real hyperbolic space



## Framework

**G**: non-compact semisimple Lie group, finite center,  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$

**K**: maximal compact of  $G$ ,  $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

**X** :=  $G/K$ : Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type

**M** :=  $Z_K(A)$ ,  $\text{Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$

Example:  $H^n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}_e(1, n)/\text{SO}(n)$  real hyperbolic space

$(\tau, V_\tau)$  is an irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  which defines uniquely a homogeneous vector bundle

$$E_\tau := G \times V_\tau / \sim,$$

where  $(g, v) \sim (gk, \tau(k^{-1})v)$ .

## Framework

$G$ : non-compact semisimple Lie group, finite center,  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$

$K$ : maximal compact of  $G$ ,  $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

$X := G/K$ : Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type

$M := Z_K(A)$ ,  $\text{Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$

Example:  $H^n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}_e(1, n)/\text{SO}(n)$  real hyperbolic space

$(\tau, V_\tau)$  is an irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  which defines uniquely a homogeneous vector bundle

$$E_\tau := G \times V_\tau / \sim,$$

where  $(g, v) \sim (gk, \tau(k^{-1})v)$ .

Examples:

- $E_{\text{triv}_K} \simeq X \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow$  generalization of the Riemannian symmetric spaces
- $G = \text{SO}_e(1, n)$ ,  $K = \text{SO}(n)$  and  $\tau_p := \Lambda^p \text{Ad}^*$  where  $\text{Ad}^*$  denote the coadjoint representation of  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ ,  
 $\rightarrow E_{\tau_p} = \Lambda^p H^n(\mathbb{R}) := \Lambda^p (T_{\mathbb{C}}^*(H^n(\mathbb{R})))$ .

## Framework

$G$ : non-compact semisimple Lie group, finite center,  $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$

$K$ : maximal compact of  $G$ ,  $\text{Lie}(K) = \mathfrak{k}$ , so  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$

$X := G/K$ : Riemannian symmetric space of non-compact type

$M := Z_K(A)$ ,  $\text{Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$

Example:  $H^n(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SO}_e(1, n)/\text{SO}(n)$  real hyperbolic space

$(\tau, V_\tau)$  is an irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  which defines uniquely a homogeneous vector bundle

$$E_\tau := G \times V_\tau / \sim,$$

where  $(g, v) \sim (gk, \tau(k^{-1})v)$ .

Examples:

- $E_{\text{triv}_K} \simeq X \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow$  generalization of the Riemannian symmetric spaces
- $G = \text{SO}_e(1, n)$ ,  $K = \text{SO}(n)$  and  $\tau_p := \Lambda^p \text{Ad}^*$  where  $\text{Ad}^*$  denote the coadjoint representation of  $K$  on  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ ,  
 $\rightarrow E_{\tau_p} = \Lambda^p H^n(\mathbb{R}) := \Lambda^p (T_{\mathbb{C}}^*(H^n(\mathbb{R})))$ .

The smooth sections of  $E_\tau$  can be identified with

$$C^\infty(G, \tau) := \left\{ f : G \xrightarrow{\text{smooth}} V_\tau \mid f(gk) = \tau(k^{-1})f(g) \right\}.$$

$\mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$ : set of homogeneous differential operators acting on smooth sections,  
i.e.

$$L(g) D = D L(g) \quad \text{for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(E_\tau) \text{ and } g \in G$$

$$\Xi : U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$$

$$X_1 \cdots X_n \mapsto \left( f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt_1} \cdots \frac{d}{dt_n} f(x \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdots \exp(t_n X_n)) \Big|_{t_j=0} \right)$$

$\mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$ : set of homogeneous differential operators acting on smooth sections,  
i.e.

$$L(g) D = D L(g) \quad \text{for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(E_\tau) \text{ and } g \in G$$

$$\Xi : U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$$

$$X_1 \cdots X_n \mapsto \left( f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt_1} \cdots \frac{d}{dt_n} f(x \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdots \exp(t_n X_n)) \Big|_{t_j=0} \right)$$

(positive) Laplacian  $\Delta = \Xi(-\Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is the Casimir operator

$$\Omega := - \sum X_i^2 + \sum Y_i^2,$$

where  $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{k}}$  and  $\{Y_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}}$  are orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$

$\mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$ : set of homogeneous differential operators acting on smooth sections, i.e.

$$L(g) D = D L(g) \quad \text{for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(E_\tau) \text{ and } g \in G$$

$$\Xi : U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$$

$$X_1 \cdots X_n \mapsto \left( f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt_1} \cdots \frac{d}{dt_n} f(x \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdots \exp(t_n X_n)) \Big|_{t_j=0} \right)$$

(positive) Laplacian  $\Delta = \Xi(-\Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is the Casimir operator

$$\Omega := - \sum X_i^2 + \sum Y_i^2,$$

where  $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{k}}$  and  $\{Y_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}}$  are orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$

It has continuous spectrum  $\sigma(\Delta) = [\rho_\tau^2, +\infty[$  with  $\rho_\tau^2 > 0$ .

$\mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$ : set of homogeneous differential operators acting on smooth sections, i.e.

$$L(g) D = D L(g) \quad \text{for all } D \in \mathbb{D}(E_\tau) \text{ and } g \in G$$

$$\Xi : U(\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}})^K \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(E_\tau)$$

$$X_1 \cdots X_n \mapsto \left( f \mapsto \frac{d}{dt_1} \cdots \frac{d}{dt_n} f(x \exp(t_1 X_1) \cdots \exp(t_n X_n)) \Big|_{t_j=0} \right)$$

(positive) Laplacian  $\Delta = \Xi(-\Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is the Casimir operator

$$\Omega := - \sum X_i^2 + \sum Y_i^2,$$

where  $\{X_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{k}}$  and  $\{Y_i\}_{i=1, \dots, \dim \mathfrak{p}}$  are orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$

It has continuous spectrum  $\sigma(\Delta) = [\rho_\tau^2, +\infty[$  with  $\rho_\tau^2 > 0$ .

The **resolvent** of  $\Delta$

$$R_\Delta(z) = (\Delta - z)^{-1}$$

is a bounded operator on  $L^2(G, \tau)$  depending holomorphically on  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\Delta)$ , i.e.

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma(\Delta) \ni z \longrightarrow R_\Delta(z) = (\Delta - z)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G, \tau)).$$

is a holomorphic operator-valued function.

## Harmonic analysis on vector bundles

$$M := Z_K(A), \text{Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$$

For a fixed representation  $\tau \in \hat{K}$ :

$$\hat{M}(\tau) := \{\sigma \in \hat{M} \mid \tau|_M \supset \sigma\}$$



## Harmonic analysis on vector bundles

$$M := Z_K(A), \text{ Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$$

For a fixed representation  $\tau \in \hat{K}$ :

$$\hat{M}(\tau) := \{\sigma \in \hat{M} \mid \tau|_M \supset \sigma\}$$

For  $(\sigma, V_\sigma) \in \hat{M}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , we denote by

$$\pi_\lambda^\sigma = \text{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\sigma \otimes e^{i\lambda} \otimes 1)$$

Harish-Chandra's notation for this induced representation is  $\pi_{i\lambda}^\sigma$

the representation of the principal series, acting on the  $L^2$  space  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^\sigma$ .

## Harmonic analysis on vector bundles

$$M := Z_K(A), \text{ Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$$

For a fixed representation  $\tau \in \hat{K}$ :

$$\hat{M}(\tau) := \{\sigma \in \hat{M} \mid \tau|_M \supset \sigma\}$$

For  $(\sigma, V_\sigma) \in \hat{M}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , we denote by

$$\pi_\lambda^\sigma = \text{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\sigma \otimes e^{i\lambda} \otimes \mathbf{1})$$

Harish-Chandra's notation for this induced representation is  $\pi_{i\lambda}^\sigma$

the representation of the principal series, acting on the  $L^2$  space  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^\sigma$ .

**Frobenius reciprocity theorem:**  $m(\sigma, \tau|_M) = m(\tau, \pi_\lambda^\sigma) =: m_{\sigma, \tau}$

## Harmonic analysis on vector bundles

$$M := Z_K(A), \text{Lie}(M) = \mathfrak{m}$$

For a fixed representation  $\tau \in \hat{K}$ :

$$\hat{M}(\tau) := \{\sigma \in \hat{M} \mid \tau|_M \supset \sigma\}$$

For  $(\sigma, V_\sigma) \in \hat{M}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ , we denote by

$$\pi_\lambda^\sigma = \text{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\sigma \otimes e^{i\lambda} \otimes \mathbf{1})$$

Harish-Chandra's notation for this induced representation is  $\pi_{i\lambda}^\sigma$

the representation of the principal series, acting on the  $L^2$  space  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^\sigma$ .

**Frobenius reciprocity theorem:**  $m(\sigma, \tau|_M) = m(\tau, \pi_\lambda^\sigma) =: m_{\sigma, \tau}$

Generalized spherical function  $\varphi_\tau^{\sigma, \lambda} : G \rightarrow \text{End}(V_\tau)$  defined by

$$\varphi_\tau^{\sigma, \lambda}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_{\sigma, \tau}} P_i \pi_\lambda^\sigma(x) P_i^*$$

where  $P_i$  is the projection on the  $i$ -th component of  $\tau$  in  $\mathcal{H}_\lambda^\sigma$ .

## Rank one

Assumption in this thesis:  $G$  is of real rank one  $\iff \dim \mathfrak{a} = 1$

$G$	$K$	$X = G/K$
$\text{Spin}(n, 1)$	$\text{Spin}(n)$	real h. s.
$\text{SU}(n, 1)$	$\text{S}(\text{U}(n) \times \text{U}(1))$	complex h. s.
$\text{Sp}(n, 1)$	$\text{Sp}(n)$	quaternionic h. s.
$\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_4$	$\text{Spin}(9)$	octonionic h. p.



## Resonances of the Laplacian: Previous works

The scalar case, i.e. when  $\tau = \text{triv}_K$ , in rank one

## Resonances of the Laplacian: Previous works

The scalar case, i.e. when  $\tau = \text{triv}_K$ , in rank one

- Real hyperbolic  $n$ -space (1995): **Guillopé** and **Zworski**

### Theorem

For  $X = H^n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ , the resolvent  $R_\Delta$  has:

- ◇ holomorphic extension, if  $n$  is odd
- ◇ meromorphic extension (with infinitely many poles) if  $n$  even.

## Resonances of the Laplacian: Previous works

The scalar case, i.e. when  $\tau = \text{triv}_K$ , in rank one

- Real hyperbolic n-space (1995): **Guillopé** and **Zworski**

### Theorem

For  $X = H^n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Omega = \mathbb{C}$ , the resolvent  $R_\Delta$  has:

- ◇ holomorphic extension, if  $n$  is odd
- ◇ meromorphic extension (with infinitely many poles) if  $n$  even.

- Meromorphic continuation on Riemannian symmetric spaces (2005): **Strohmaier**, **Mazzeo** and **Vasy**

### Theorem

$X =$  arbitrary Riemannian symmetric space of the noncompact type.

There are  $\Omega \not\subseteq \mathbb{C}$  open with  $\sigma(\Delta) \subset \Omega$  and  $M$  Riemann surface above  $\Omega$  such that

$$R_\Delta : \Omega \setminus \sigma(\Delta) \ni u \longrightarrow R_\Delta(u) \in \text{Hom}(C_c^\infty(X), C_c^\infty(X)')$$

admits *holomorphic* extension to  $M$ .

Problem:  $\Omega$  is not large enough to find resonances.

- Computation of resonances and residue representations for hyperbolic spaces: **Miatello** and **Will** (2000) and with a different method **Hilgert** and **Pasquale** (2009)

### Theorem

$X =$  Riemannian symmetric space of (real) rank one. Then  $\zeta \mapsto R_{\Delta}(\zeta^2)$  extend to

- a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow$  no resonances, if  $X = H^n(\mathbb{R})$  with  $n$  odd,
- a meromorphic continuation with infinitely many (simple) poles, otherwise.

The residue representations are all finite dimensional and irreducible.



- Computation of resonances and residue representations for hyperbolic spaces: **Miatello** and **Will** (2000) and with a different method **Hilgert** and **Pasquale** (2009)

### Theorem

$X =$  Riemannian symmetric space of (real) rank one. Then  $\zeta \mapsto R_{\Delta}(\zeta^2)$  extend to

- a holomorphic function on  $\mathbb{C} \rightsquigarrow$  no resonances, if  $X = H^n(\mathbb{R})$  with  $n$  odd,
- a meromorphic continuation with infinitely many (simple) poles, otherwise.

The residue representations are all finite dimensional and irreducible.

### Resonances in higher rank

- Hilgert, Pasquale, Przedinda for  $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $BC_2$ ,  $C_2$  and when  $X$  is the product of 2 rank one Riemannian symmetric spaces of non-compact type.

First result gives explicitly the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent and the resonances for  $\tau$  arbitrary. They depend on the  $M$ -types  $\sigma$  which occurs in  $\tau|_M$ . Let  $\hat{M}(\tau)$  be this set.

### Theorem

*The resonances of the Laplace operator acting on the sections of  $E_\tau$  appear in families parametrized by the elements of  $\hat{M}(\tau)$ . Let*

$$S_\sigma = \left\{ (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \zeta^2 := -z - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle + \langle \mu_\sigma + \rho_M, \mu_\sigma + \rho_M \rangle \right\} .$$

*Then the resolvent extends meromorphically from*

*$S_\sigma^+ = \{(z, \zeta) \in S \mid \Im(\zeta) > 0\}$  to  $S_\sigma$ . The (simple) poles of this extension are the pairs*

$$(z_{\sigma,k}, \zeta_{\sigma,k}) = ((B_{\max} + k)^2 |\alpha|^2 - \rho_\alpha^2 |\alpha|^2 + \langle \mu_\sigma + \rho_M, \mu_\sigma + \rho_M \rangle, -i(B_{\max} + k)^2 |\alpha|^2)$$

*where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_\sigma$ ,  $\mu_\sigma$  is the highest weight of the representation  $\sigma$ ,  $B_{\max}$  depends on  $\mu_\sigma$  and  $\rho_M$  is the half sum of positive roots for  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

First result gives explicitly the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent and the resonances for  $\tau$  arbitrary. They depend on the  $M$ -types  $\sigma$  which occurs in  $\tau|_M$ . Let  $\hat{M}(\tau)$  be this set.

### Theorem

*The resonances of the Laplace operator acting on the sections of  $E_\tau$  appear in families parametrized by the elements of  $\hat{M}(\tau)$ . Let*

$$S_\sigma = \left\{ (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \zeta^2 := -z - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle + \langle \mu_\sigma + \rho_M, \mu_\sigma + \rho_M \rangle \right\} .$$

*Then the resolvent extends meromorphically from*

*$S_\sigma^+ = \{(z, \zeta) \in S \mid \Im(\zeta) > 0\}$  to  $S_\sigma$ . The (simple) poles of this extension are the pairs*

$$(z_{\sigma,k}, \zeta_{\sigma,k}) = ((B_{\max} + k)^2 |\alpha|^2 - \rho_\alpha^2 |\alpha|^2 + \langle \mu_\sigma + \rho_M, \mu_\sigma + \rho_M \rangle, -i(B_{\max} + k)^2 |\alpha|^2)$$

*where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_\sigma$ ,  $\mu_\sigma$  is the highest weight of the representation  $\sigma$ ,  $B_{\max}$  depends on  $\mu_\sigma$  and  $\rho_M$  is the half sum of positive roots for  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

### Second question:

Residue at the resonances :  $E_k^\sigma := \{\varphi_\tau^{\sigma, \lambda_k} * f \mid f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)\} \leftarrow^L G$

First result gives explicitly the meromorphic continuation of the resolvent and the resonances for  $\tau$  arbitrary. They depend on the  $M$ -types  $\sigma$  which occurs in  $\tau|_M$ . Let  $\hat{M}(\tau)$  be this set.

### Theorem

*The resonances of the Laplace operator acting on the sections of  $E_\tau$  appear in families parametrized by the elements of  $\hat{M}(\tau)$ . Let*

$$S_\sigma = \left\{ (z, \zeta) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \zeta^2 := -z - \langle \rho, \rho \rangle + \langle \mu_\sigma + \rho_M, \mu_\sigma + \rho_M \rangle \right\} .$$

*Then the resolvent extends meromorphically from*

*$S_\sigma^+ = \{(z, \zeta) \in S \mid \Im(\zeta) > 0\}$  to  $S_\sigma$ . The (simple) poles of this extension are the pairs*

$$(z_{\sigma,k}, \zeta_{\sigma,k}) = ((B_{\max} + k)^2 |\alpha|^2 - \rho_\alpha^2 |\alpha|^2 + \langle \mu_\sigma + \rho_M, \mu_\sigma + \rho_M \rangle, -i(B_{\max} + k)^2 |\alpha|^2)$$

*where  $k \in \mathbb{N}_\sigma$ ,  $\mu_\sigma$  is the highest weight of the representation  $\sigma$ ,  $B_{\max}$  depends on  $\mu_\sigma$  and  $\rho_M$  is the half sum of positive roots for  $\mathfrak{m}$ .*

### Second question:

Residue at the resonances :  $E_k^\sigma := \{\varphi_\tau^{\sigma, \lambda_k} * f \mid f \in C_c^\infty(G, \tau)\} \leftarrow^L G$   
 What is the representation obtained?

To have a more general result, we suppose that  $\tau$  contain the trivial representation of  $M$ .

### Theorem

*The residue representations  $\mathcal{E}_k$  are **all irreducible**. We found which one are **unitary**. We found their **Langlands parameters** : these give a full description of the  $(\mathfrak{g}, K)$ -module as unique irreducible quotient of a principal series representation. There are **discrete series representations**.*



## Wave front set

For semisimple Lie groups,  $\pi$  admissible representation of  $G$ ,  
 $\text{WF}(\pi) = \text{closure of a union of nilpotent orbits in } \mathfrak{g} \text{ (under } \text{Ad}(G)\text{)}$

## Wave front set

For semisimple Lie groups,  $\pi$  admissible representation of  $G$ ,  
 $\text{WF}(\pi) = \text{closure of a union of nilpotent orbits in } \mathfrak{g} \text{ (under } \text{Ad}(G)\text{)}$

**Theorem:**

We found explicitly the wave front sets in each case.

## Wave front set

For semisimple Lie groups,  $\pi$  admissible representation of  $G$ ,  
 $\text{WF}(\pi) = \text{closure of a union of nilpotent orbits in } \mathfrak{g} \text{ (under } \text{Ad}(G)\text{)}$

### Theorem:

We found explicitly the wave front sets in each case.

### Corollary:

$$\mathcal{E}_k \Leftrightarrow \text{Components of } \mathcal{H}_{\lambda_k \alpha} \Leftrightarrow \text{nilpotents orbits}$$